

just as Skaberg is against ^{us} our race,
but for good uses of his PU

and Teller, for good uses of his H
(vs. counts; deterrence; ^{limit} the FC, stable
(NAR)

and Cohn, N-bomb

The problem-solvers:

HK on Road to Geneva: "But I don't see how you could..."

Marin: guillotine - I see the problem.

LOW (to obviate need for BMD)

(SU relies on this

HK: Damsday Machine

Bill Brown (my reaction)

Stopping the World
(my reaction)

(SU does it. Deal Hand

response to
Dr. Skaberg talk
+ prep

Dr. Skaberg - Gans, I + HSR
NATO watch
Delegation analyze
script

(Ignorance of nuclear winter)

Problem had been solved!

(Teller and me

8-11-03

Book:

AS IN

1987-91-

92-

next opportunity of ^{visiting me} 1961

Failure to re-think everything,
go for our control,
stop now

(see Northrup on Post-Mortem)

Instead: 1) I + Helmut speak
Athens Am Arley!
F-S (Berlin
thru)

(Then McN gets disillusioned with
Zel state O-L, options - goes for MAD
(but doesn't internally To limit USAF
reject O-L: really go for MAD, UNIT FORCE)

Put in? [see Lybbelt Crisis
Facts Mol acceptis!
Crisis Pattern]

(C-II)

Anticipation Later: - Move for Survival / Cont. Walk
movement (Laden)

- RFTF

(Linsen? Leningrad
moscow - BUSH "Happy day"
Grand zero -
Vanderby

(N-Barb)

COHEN

Put in by analysis in connection

From 1995 (8 years ago),
dilemma

Am Arkes speech (

Dilpative Speech —

Coxon ~~AAA~~ K records deadline!

→ Cuba II of Am Arkes '62'

+ target: got back — giving 1) Trunk missiles

down

see Ph.D. thesis
(Hershey friend)

2)

MNH and Taiwan Straits Crisis

(Munsterdt ~~study~~) (I see: like C-II FMA

1964 — Facts MalAccompli

Pros:

Bessell and C-I and U-2

He and Dulles and Stacy

(my experience)

role of rage / humiliation

surprising refusal to

acquiesce (He, in long)

role of dem. pol.

1962 — my experience in C-II

— study of it

— SI from SAM - site

— But reality: worse delegation

RFK ultrathin

Wf not earlier:

1995 on:

Wf not in Secret:

Too big! Space problem in book

Don't do justice to issue without
much background

"Wf?" (my concern for eventual FC
in VN...)

How did I get such access?
How did I know people?

— (Even so, I put in hints, brought
in Wes (Chap. 2, at end of process)

— Couldn't prove N part with docs
(Now see: Kinnell + Burr)

— I see systematic omission of
mention — Butts...

neglect of N's claims
and hist's

— I looked like a bungler (plunder)
to loss of documents

(Feeling of failure
my reluctance to
re-immense myself
in the subject

— Promise of Frage Moment: failure

— Who would believe me? (Goldwater, 1964)

I feel dampened by one career per trial / esp. being

A write in 1981

(A investigation after 1974:

DURK HOOK

Regretted the crises:

(all of them!)

MORRIS
(INT.)

1) 1980 see Jack Anderson,

hist '46

CARTER (interview)

prof Powell

IRAN

Nitze/Kennan

on Iran

2) 1958

IRAQ / Kuwait

1973 - N/IRAK/Hag ...

1972 - N tapes Offshore

(1971? know?)

Plumber 1973-75 -

(Hag)

(Who could talk:

Hag

HAK

Eggshelving

Kake

(Hymn?)

(Hullo?)

Morris

Kean Glass

Walt Blomquist

1991 - Hag

(Khaddafi!

North Korea!

} Clinton

2002-03 Hag

(Ankin

(detests note unshared!

sensations / inspiration

(and threats of conventional
attacks)

Already written:

0. Blitz - strat bombing Dual/bombers
1. U-235 1944/45 Dual/Hiroshima/H

2. Accident Catastrophe
risk-taking / sleep / going off road
need for vigilance / warning

- Kuman

- Questions

2009

- "1000 CBMs" / Briefing to Rand

- Delegation [now does]

1961/1964

[by effort to get it out]

Usefulness of C3

→ Deep threat → COW/Delegation

P-15
Paving

see Clinton Austin on (see Park & HK now)
why small SOI as threat to SU: COW

Staple: My memo on VA and authentication
(PAL) (many fathers)

(1) Desire to get locks on weapons
PACOM & envelopes

GEOP

Alb. undertakings

[see Clinton: critics as
targets]

SACLAGAR - Path to Total War [GET]

Interference Problem (Franchise - of pilots)

Single Plan

JSCP - Def. of GW

(Others: T & McG, Elvinge, Carne, Stern,
Emthoven, ^{Dr.} Ruth ^{David} (Drafer?) DAVIS
WSEG Marshall, bsr, ANW, HK, ...

✓ may have had no effect — over-
"determined"; other paths; no desire
to claim paternity; Auschwitz
let others write the records;
confront, converge...

This is first ~~my~~ ^{my} ~~major~~ story — I'm
at the center of it because it's told from the P.O.V.
experience

— Admission and NATO Berlin Crisis

Berlin Line 1961 "JFK speech"

"Briefing to K" (Kagan); Helpful speech; ^K

Balance of Terror was stable because
total im-balance SU had none

(= Aug 2003?)

like SU 1960-61!

DOES NK HAVE OP.

Nukes? OR, BLUFF?

or then A-bomb

Imminent ICBMs as response for US
build-up: or, w/out Aug, attack!

(not the response for Hiroshima
but "no other way to avoid invasion"
IM]

P.G. as response

Hyp. Discourse like NAC Planes
Project
(New American Century?)

|| — Some are explosions are (new)
inevitable... (What if in Israel?)

What then?

What, then? (are alternatives)

What to aim at?

How to respond?

What to try to avert
defeat against?

Catalytic attacks?

— on SU? (Operalytic?
Annihilation?
Rapture...

— of a terrorist: on Pak? India?

NEW NOC BRA

Sat May 10, 03

Key art: Matters on which nearly everyone
has been wrong
mistaken

on wrong path

on wrong assumptions

e.g. US non-prolif objectives: high priority (actually, so low that it
(not even a general purpose)

new: paper,
good

e.g. Pargueach and "overkill" yields to almost
any other
conviction)

also under
N/HAR:

NAR + "his one war"

"Was one [set of policy-making
builders

HE: would for CD + ABM
(as seen by SAC)

miting: we should be
seen "realistically
experimentally]

— only useful/valuable for deterring
new attacks. "No use militarily
if det fails

2

AW/AWM
were right,
from 1959-64;

- O-L is [self-evidently] infeasible
and always has been

[e.g. 1960-63]

and given
discrep, minutes

[There: "no incentive to preempt"

for O-L were
furnished after

"no instability"

1964, (MX, SS-18, ABM...)

("incentive"
Comm for Present

Dugan,
GPD

"UNTHINKABLE"*

John B

(Burgin)

(There: no difference between presidents
on the score — or, among
non-innovation leaders (like SH)

Henry Kissinger, NPT May: "Inhibitor"

"weapons that have been nearly unthinkable for half a century"

& CAT, Paul Riech, today, 5-10-83 (SF Chron, A4)

5-10-03

Who is pressing for new rules, testing?

Who in labs?

military?

NOW: PU seen as
more credible,
usable, post-CW!

ask Paine

Civilians?

(PNAC?

Perle?)

Where is Marshall - this?

Rumfeld?

Will a use on a city by terrorists
"legitimize" that use cities?

Did Auschwitz "legitimize" or "compel"
others' use of gas-chambers
or possession?

(Again - what if Nazis had used
A-bomb, while US refrained?!)

Did US/UK legitimize city-burning?!
people-

(If not: why not?

Superior to others, tell rules!

Is it good enough for a given regime
- US Empire based on PGMs + FU - to
"keep the peace" (roughly: so to speak)
for 25-50 years
- like "arms control" and/or CW
no matter what follows?

(Asin official to Bill Keller, this week's NYT Mag)

5-10-53

John Robert

Friday

Grass Marsh, Jefferson County,

Colo — met ^{DE} in e-mail

at WACaf.

on trail of Rockwell Ant.

a gross environmental violation

on trail of Jim Stone, who uses

303-421-3383

: forensic.pro.com

Boysen

TR Sat

909-682-0229

Adrian on book idea (?)

How to do the book
reliably
fast
less sitting

Oregon? but? good way to sit in
bed, w. computer?
Phone to TR?

Study book problems...

UN - Empire - maintaining

CW
Politics

New - building

(Don + fast)

hang!

not "nation-building"
but "empire-building"

(direct empire)

like UK, late 19th

Counter - prolif

not "democracy-building" (like an, maintaining
but reshaping ME) deterrence

and D-2

of tech, wile many
(not, coop.)

1.1. natural